

Policy for

Performance Appraisal Policy (Teaching & Non-Teaching staff)

Performance Appraisal Policy (Teaching and Non-Teaching staff)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

❖ OBJECTIVE | PAGE 2

❖ APPLICABILITY | PAGE 2

❖ PROCESS | PAGE 2

OBJECTIVE

The performance appraisal policy serves as a tool to evaluate employee performance, provide feedback, set performance goals, identify training and development needs, determine compensation and rewards (e.g. increment etc.), support performance management, and identify the potential for career growth.

APPLICABILITY

This policy is applicable to all the teaching and non-teaching staff members in the institution.

PROCESS

- A) Faculty Performance Appraisal Policy: The performance appraisal of the faculty is a continuous process and is done regularly. The elements of the appraisal process are:
- 1. 360° Appraisal Technique is used for evaluating the performance of the faculty members. The management utilizes regular classroom visits to assess teaching effectiveness and evaluate faculty teaching abilities.
- 2. The Annual Performance Appraisal preforma, duly guide by each faculty is submitted to respective Dean/Head of the Department.
- 3. The appraisal report is finally assessed by the Committee by Dean, and feedback provided by the Dean is discussed with the concerned faculty members, who then sign their appraisal is finalizing.
- B) Non-Teaching Performance Appraisal Policy: The performance appraisal of the faculty is a continuous process and is done regularly. The elements of the appraisal process are:
- 1. All non-teaching staff are also assessed through annual performance appraisal reports. The various parameters for staff members are assessed under different categories i.e. Character and Habits, Departmental Abilities, Capacity to do hard work, Discipline, Reliability, Relations/Cooperation with superiors, subordinates, colleagues, students and public, Power of Drafting (where applicable), efficient organization of documents and technical abilities (in case of workshop staff).

- 2. The comprehensive Annual Confidential Report comprises 6 broader parameters. Each one of them is graded on a five-point scale, i.e., Excellent, Good, Satisfactory, Averageand Poor.
- 3. The overall assessment is based on the cumulative grade by the Reporting Officer/HoD, which is then forwarded to the Director by the forwarding officer.

The management pursue the Performance Appraisal Report for:

- 1. Giving yearly increments to the teaching and non-teaching staff members and additional incentives to those who perform exceptionally well.
- 2. Providing constructive feedback to the staff member about the shortcomings in their performance and providing input to improve their performance.

Registrar

Registrar Rama University Kanpur (U.P.)

Rama University, Kanpur

Annual Performance Appraisal Form for Teaching Staff

-	
(Pe	riod of Appraisal: From to)
Empl	oyee Details:
•	Name of the Faculty:
•	
•	Department: Designation:
•	Date of Joining the Institute:
•	Gross Salary:
Guid	elines:
1.	The period of evaluation shall be from 1st July to 30th June of any year.
2.	All information should be provided accurately and clearly. Additional information
	worth mentioning may be provided on separate sheets.
3.	The faculty must refer to the Performance Appraisal Scheme document for more
	details before filling out the appraisal form.
4.	Appraisal Committee for Faculty: Evaluating Authority is the HoD and the
	Reviewing Authority is the Head of Institution (Principal).
5.	Appraisal Committee for HoD: Evaluating Authority is the Head of Institution;
	the Reviewing Authority is the Director (or the competent authority appointed on
	his behalf).
6.	The Evaluating Authority shall verify all the information with supporting proofs
	hefore commenting on the performance

Records of Absence (To be filled by the Admin / HoD Office):

SI. No.	Type of Leave	No. of Leaves Availed	Signature of Admin / HoD with Date	Term	Term -
1	Casual Leave (CL)				
2	Medical Leave (ML)				
3	Duty Leave (DL)				
4	Maternity Leave (MTL) (if applicable)				
5	Leave Without Pay (LWP)				

Category I: Teaching, Learning & Evaluation (Minimum Score Required: 75)

Sr.	A DI -	API Score	Self	Evaluation
No.	APIs	Allotted	Evaluation	by EA
1	Lectures, Tutorials, Practicals conducted	50	,	
2	Extra Teaching Duties	10		
3	Curriculum Enrichment	. 10		
4	Participatory & Innovative Teaching-Learning Methodologies	20		
5	Examination Duties	10	1	
6	Student Feedback	20		-
7	HOD's Feedback	5		

Total (I): 125

(Note: Attach supporting documents to validate the claim)

Category II: Co-Curricular, Extension & Professional Development (Minimum Score Required: 15)

Sr.	APIs	API Score	Self	Evaluation
No.	AT IS	Allotted	Evaluation	by EA
1	Student Centric Activity	20	,	
2	Academic and Administrative Committees & Responsibilities	15	,	
3	Professional Development Activities	15		

Total (II): 50

(Note: Attach supporting documents to validate the claim)

Category III: Research & Development

Sr.	A DV	API Score	Self	Evaluation by
No.	APIs	Allotted	Evaluation	EA
1	Sponsored Research Projects			
2	External Funding for Research Activities		,	
3	Publications			
4	Invited Lectures / Paper Presentation			
5	Student Publications		1	
6	Books and Chapters in Books			
7	Patent		;	
8	Industrial Training / Online Courses	,	,	
9	Courses/Programs Attended	1		3.77
10	Courses/Programs Organized for Faculty			
11	Research Guidance	<i>2</i> 1 .		

12	Research Evaluation and Review		
13	Collaborative Research		
14	Product / Process	 }	
	Development		,
15	Collaborations / Donations /		
13	Lab Development		
16	Consultancy Projects	 ,	į
17	MOU / T & P Activities		
18	Fellowships / Awards		

Total (III):

(Note: Attach supporting documents to validate the claim)

Evaluation Summary:

APIs	Minimum	. Self	Evaluation
APIS	Required	Evaluation	by EA
Category – I: Teaching, Learning	75	,	
& Evaluation	75,		
Category – II: Co-Curricular,			
Extension & Professional	15		
Development			
Category – III: Research and	Varies by		
Development .	designation		

Total:

(Note: Attach supporting documents to validate the claim)

Additional Comments	(if any) b	y the	Faculty:
---------------------	------------	-------	----------

	Signature of Faculty:	
Assessment by th	ne HoD:	n er f. de Armagel comment og det det er hande de distrement den se være de brevende men men er er er betyder e
(The assessment s	hould be based predominantly on the criteria mentioned	d. The opinion
	bordinates, and superiors will be given due weightage	
utility/contributio	on of the candidate to the department and institute are	e assessed. In
case of interdepa	artmental or FY assignments, EA should seek rema	arks from the
concerned HoD a	s the case may be)	

On a 10 point sca	ale, the performance of	_ can be rated
at		
Date:	Head of Department:	
Review of Asses	sment & Recommendation by the Director (RA):	
Date:	Director:	
Edited by:	Recommended by: Approved by:	

This form should be filled out according to the instructions and submitted with all required supporting documents to ensure a fair and comprehensive evaluation.

Rama University, Kanpur

Annual Appraisal Form for Non-Teaching Staff

cad	emic Year:
1.	Name of the Staff:
2.	Position Title:
3.	Date of Entry into Service:
4.	Number of Years in Service:
	Qualification:
6.	Details of Current Responsibilities:

I. Professional Competence

Sl.	Criteria	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Average	Poor
No.	Criteria		3004	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
1	Knowledge of rules, regulations, and procedures			1		
2	Ability to organize work and carry it out	,				
3	Ability and willingness to take up additional load in times of exigencies			,		
4	Creativity and innovation					
5	Ability to learn and perform new duties				į.	
6	Capacity to supervise (For Supervising Staff Only)					

	Do you possess good			
	knowledge (theory,	1	, ,	
7	hands-on) for all aspects			
/	of the job to perform			
	your job functions			
	satisfactorily?		*	

II. Performance

Sl.	Cuitorio	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Average	Poor
No.	Criteria	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	71, or ng	
1	Awareness of policies and procedures of the institution	:				
2	Maintenance of Files/Records					
3	Accuracy & Speed of work				;	
4	Neatness & tidiness of work			i		
5	Completion of work on schedule	·				
6	Diligence and sense of responsibility					

III. Personal Characteristics

Sl. No.	Çriteria	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Average	Poor
1	Attendance					
2	Punctuality					
3	Discipline					
4	Integrity and behavior		area consentarea estados estad			

IV. Attitude Towards Co-workers

Sl.	Criteria	Eventlend				
No.	Criteria	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Average	Poor
1	Cooperation with your					
•	colleagues					
2	Mutual motivation with	,				
2	your colleagues					

V. Attitude Towards Public

Sl.	Criteria	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Average	Poor
No.	Criteria	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Average	1 001
	Cooperation to the needs		,			
	of the public (Parents,	1		· '		
1	Business Associates,					
	Vendors, Well-Wishers					
	of the University)					
	Rapport with the public	,				
2	when you interact with				2	
	them					

VI. Staff/Student Relations

Sl. No.	Criteria	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Average	Poor
1	Ability to engage, motivate, supervise, and effectively work in the interest of students					
2	Responsibility towards your tasks/areas of management assigned to					

Declaration
I hereby declare that the information provided is true to the best of my knowledge.
Place:
Date:
Name and Signature of the Non-Teaching Staff:
Countersigned by the Head of the Institution: